	JC4AAPETC	Conference					
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW						
2							
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,						
4	V.		19 CR 850 (JSR)				
5	PARKER H. PETIT and WILLI TAYLOR,	AM					
6	Defendants.						
7 8		x					
9			New York, N.Y. December 4, 2019				
10			11:15 a.m.				
11	Before:						
12	HON. JED S. RAKOFF,						
13			District Judge				
14							
15		APPEARANCES					
16	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorn Southern District of	_					
17	EDWARD IMPERATOR DAVID TRACER	new lork					
18	SCOTT HARTMAN Assistant United Sta	tes Attornev					
19	FRESHFIELDS						
20	Attorneys for Defend ERIC BRUCE	ant Petit					
21	QUINN EMANUEL						
22	Attorneys for Defend WILLIAM BURCK	ant Taylor					
23	WILLIAM WEINREB MICHAEL CARLINSKY						
24							
25							

JC4AAPETC Conference

	Conference					
1	(Case called)					
2	MR. IMPERATORE: Good morning, your Honor.					
3	Edward Imperatore, David Tracer and Scott Hartman, for					
4	the government.					
5	THE COURT: Good morning.					
6	MR. BRUCE: Good morning, your Honor.					
7	Eric Bruce, on behalf of Mr. Parker Petit, who is to					
8	my right					
9	THE COURT: Good morning.					
10	MR. BURCK: Good morning, your Honor.					
11	William Burck, with my colleagues Bill Weinreb and					
12	Michael Carlinsky, for Mr. Taylor who is present, your Honor.					
13	THE COURT: Good morning.					
14	Before we get down to business so to speak, there's a					
15	question of whether Mr. Taylor has waived any objection to					
16	representation by Quinn Emanuel in light of the fact, so I					
17	understand that Quinn Emanuel represented both defendants at an					
18	earlier stage of these matters.					
19	So let me ask Mr. Taylor, are you satisfied with the					
20	services of Quinn Emanuel so far?					
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I was, your Honor.					
22	THE COURT: Notwithstanding, their incredibly high					
23	(inaudible).					

Are you aware that Quinn Emanuel previously

24

25

JC4AAPETC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the fact that Quinn Emanuel previously represented Mr. Petit may mean that some conceivable situations they might be forced to put his interests above yours?

THE DEFENDANT: That is my understanding, sir.

THE COURT: Specifically, do you understand that if Mr. Petit were to testify in this case and if Quinn Emanuel would be limited in its ability to ask questions on cross-examination that could be damaging to his credibility or favorable to his defense but that might not be able to be asked because of their prior representation; do you understand that possibility exists?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Also, do you understand that Quinn Emanuel may be limited in making certain arguments at trial on your behalf because of their continuing duty to Mr. Petit, notwithstanding, that they currently represent him; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that Quinn Emanuel's duty and loyalties to Mr. Petit may limit the firm's ability to advise you regarding the merits and demerits of cooperating with the government in this case; do you understand that possibility exists?

JC4AAPETC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that more generally there's just the possibility that their representation of you may be in some way which may not even be foreseeable at this time that might impact their ability to represent you and you alone to the fullest extent; do you understand that possibility exists?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in every criminal case, including this one, you have a right to the assistance of counsel whose loyalty to you is totally undivided; do you understand you have that right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity or do you wish if you haven't had the opportunity, do you wish the opportunity to consult with an independent counsel before deciding whether or not to waive any conflict?

THE DEFENDANT: I have already, your Honor, this morning.

THE COURT: Very good. And after considering all that we've discussed, are you prepared to waive any conflict and do you wish to continue with Quinn Emanuel as your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. The government provided me with a litany of questions, not all of which I thought were JC4AAPETC Conference

appropriate. But is there any question that the government wished me to ask that I haven't asked that you are dying to have me ask?

MR. IMPERATORE: No, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Very good. All right. So I think Quinn Emanuel can continue as counsel for Mr. Taylor.

So let's turn to the arraignment. Let me ask each defense counsel for respective parties whether their clients have met and discussed with them the indictment in this case?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, we certainly have, your Honor.

MR. BURCK: Yes, your Honor, we have.

THE COURT: Do you wish to have the indictment read again here in open court which would only take about two hours or do you waive the public reading?

MR. BRUCE: We will waive its public reading.

MR. BURCK: We do also.

THE COURT: Do you wish a plea of not guilty be entered at this time?

MR. BRUCE: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

Yes, your Honor. MR. BURCK:

THE COURT: All right. So how long does the government want to complete discovery?

MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, the government has already produced a vast majority of discovery in this case and made that production to the defendants late in the day

JC4AAPETC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

yesterday. There is only a relatively small volume of discovery that remains. Out of an abundance of caution we would ask for two weeks. Although, I am confident that we can produce it much more quickly than that.

THE COURT: That's fine. So that would be all discovery to be completed by December 18. How long after that do defense counsel want to make any motions?

MR. BRUCE: Your Honor, as you pointed out, I'm still relatively new to the case having just come in, so I would request six weeks after the completion of discovery to file motions.

> How many lawyers in your firm? THE COURT:

In my firm there's quite a few, your MR. BRUCE: Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. And if your firm were hired to defend a hostile takeover for example, that had to have numerous depositions 24 hours hence, your firm would say "we can do it". So why do you need six weeks?

MR. BRUCE: Your Honor, it's really for me personally to review the materials and learn the case. Certainly, we have the resources. Freshfields is a large firm as you know. So that was my proposal. But we are committed to defending Mr. Petit in this matter and if your Honor wanted to set a shorter schedule, we'll meet that obviously.

THE COURT: OK.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We'll defer to the Court. MR. BURCK:

THE COURT: All right. So I think and I do -normally, I would give two weeks. We have the holidays and new counsel. So I think four weeks is appropriate. So let's see. So let's look at January 23 at two o'clock. That's the date for the next conference. All motions have to be filed by January 22, the day before. If those motions can be -- they will be at that conference, we need to set a time for the government to have a written response. We'll set it then and normally that's going to set the trial date but I think maybe you should set the trial date now.

How long a trial does the government have in mind? MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, the government would estimate its case to be in the ballpark of four weeks approximately.

THE COURT: OK. And I know defense counsel are not yet in the same position to assess.

MR. BRUCE: It's hard for me to assess, your Honor, the government's case in chief but there are quite a few documents. It does appear to be a document heavy case. So it sounds to be in the ballpark.

MR. BURCK: Your Honor, we agree. And we do anticipate to put on a defense, so we don't know yet how long but probably a week or two.

MR. BRUCE: And the same for Mr. Petit. We will be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

putting	on	а	defense	case.

THE COURT: Yes. So my experience is that the government usually overestimates by about two hundred percent. Defense counsel may be somewhat similarly. But I would put aside four weeks for the trial because if it goes longer, it goes longer.

What days are counsel not available in May and June? MR. BURCK: Your Honor, I have a trial in the Eastern District of Virginia in Norfolk. It begins on May 27. government -- actually, the Court has basically said we need to be done by June 22. I think it'll be done before that. that window isn't possible for me.

THE COURT: OK. How about counsel for the other defendant?

> I'm available, your Honor. MR. BRUCE:

THE COURT: All right. So let's look. Your case will be over no matter what by end of May.

MR. BURCK: By the end of the third week of June, your Honor.

> When is the trial supposed to start. THE COURT:

MR. BURCK: May 27. Then it's supposed to be over, the Court has told us that she expects the case to be finished no later than June 22.

> THE COURT: I see. OK. So Tuesday, July 7.

MR. IMPERATORE: I think we were hoping for an earlier

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trial date but --

THE COURT: If we're going to do it in July then I'm going to advise all lawyers here that that date will be set in stone if God forbid you get another trial, too bad. Your partner will have to try the case. He's been around. Because I agree with the government that's a lengthy period of time to wait. I will accommodate you but I don't want anyone suggesting any adjournment in this case. I will not entertain such a suggestion.

Is that understood?

MR. BURCK: Yes, your Honor.

MR. BRUCE: Yes, your Honor.

Very good. All right. So July 7th and THE COURT: pursuant to Section 3161 of Title 18, I will exclude from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act all time between now and July 7 finding such time is necessary for the drafting and resolving of any motions and/or the accommodation of counsel's other commitments and for those and other reasons, the best interests of justice or for excluding such time substantially outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

Anything else we need to take up today?

MR. IMPERATORE: Your Honor, the parties have agreed upon a protective order for discovery and it has been signed by the parties. I can hand it up to your Honor's deputy clerk.

THE COURT: Yes.

2 (Pause)

THE COURT: All right. So this order appears in proper form including the notation on page three in quotes "remainder of page intentional left blank". And so I will sign the case management -- excuse me -- the protective order and it will be filed and therefore available to both sides.

OK. Anything else we need to take up today?

MR. WEINREB: Yes. Good morning, you Honor.

I'm William Weinreb. I and my colleague, Michael

Packard, have motions for admission pro hac vice pending before
the Court. We would ask that that be granted.

THE COURT: When did you submit this?

MR. WEINREB: We submitted them a couple days ago. They have been reviewed and approved two days ago.

THE COURT: Yes. I'll take care of that later today.

MR. BRUCE: Your Honor, one more thing. Mr. Petit is currently battling what his doctor refers to as high-grade bladder cancer. So he's been battling it for about a year and a half. He recently had cancerous lesions removed from his bladder on October 7th. He is undergoing intravesical immunotherapy and chemotherapy related to that. It's impossible for any of us to predict what his health will be in July but I just didn't want to spring this on the Court on the first day of trial. There may well be doctor's appointments

JC4AAPETC Conference

that would be obviously important to Mr. Petit's health during that timeframe.

THE COURT: Yes. And I'm very glad you brought that to my attention.

Where is he located?

MR. BRUCE: Outside of Atlanta, Georgia where his treating physician is.

THE COURT: So to the extent I hope that everything goes very well we don't have to worry about this but to the extent that a doctor's appointment has to be scheduled for after the trial starts or something like that, obviously, a Monday or Friday would be preferable so that we don't interfere with the jury's excessive --

MR. BRUCE: He generally does see his doctor on Fridays, so that my fit well.

THE COURT: All right. If he's got an appointment the with his doctor on Friday then the doctor may actually see him by Sunday.

All right. Anything else we need to take up today?

MR. IMPERATORE: Not from the government.

MR. BRUCE: No, your Honor. Thank you.

MR. BURCK: No, your Honor. Thank you very much.

MR. WEINREB: No, your Honor. Thank you very much.

(Adjourned)